

DECOUPLING THE TWO-PARTICLE HAMILTONIAN

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Shankar, R. (1994), *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Plenum Press. Chapter 10, Exercise 10.1.3.

Shankar shows that, for a two-particle system, the state vector $|\psi\rangle$ is an element of the direct product space $\mathbb{V}_{1\otimes 2}$. Its evolution in time is determined by the Schrödinger equation, as usual, so that

$$(1) \quad i\hbar |\dot{\psi}\rangle = H |\psi\rangle = \left[\frac{P_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{P_2^2}{2m_2} + V(X_1, X_2) \right] |\psi\rangle$$

The method by which this equation can be solved (if it *can* be solved, that is) depends on the form of the potential V . If the two particles interact only with some external potential, and not with each other, then V is composed of a sum of terms, each of which depends only on X_1 or X_2 , but not on both. In such cases, we can split H into two parts, one of which (H_1) depends only on operators pertaining to particle 1 and the other (H_2) on operators pertaining to particle 2. If the eigenvalues (allowed energies) of particle i are given by E_i , then the stationary states are direct products of the corresponding single particle eigenstates. That is, in general

$$(2) \quad H |E\rangle = (H_1 + H_2) |E_1\rangle \otimes |E_2\rangle = (E_1 + E_2) |E_1\rangle \otimes |E_2\rangle = E |E\rangle$$

Thus the two-particle state $|E\rangle = |E_1\rangle \otimes |E_2\rangle$. Since a stationary state $|E_i\rangle$ evolves in time according to

$$(3) \quad |\psi_i(t)\rangle = |E_i\rangle e^{-iE_i t/\hbar}$$

the compound two-particle state evolves according to

$$(4) \quad |\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} |E_1\rangle \otimes e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} |E_2\rangle$$

$$(5) \quad = e^{-i(E_1 + E_2)t/\hbar} |E\rangle$$

$$(6) \quad = e^{-iEt/\hbar} |E\rangle$$

In this case, the two particles are essentially independent of each other, and the compound state is just the product of the two separate one-particle states.

If H is not separable, which will occur if V contains terms involving both X_1 and X_2 in the same term, we cannot, in general, reduce the system to the product of two one-particle systems. There are a couple of instances, however, where such a reduction can be done.

The first instance is if the potential is a function of $x_2 - x_1$ only, in other words, that the interaction between the particles depends only on the distance between them. Shankar shows that in this case we can transform the system to that of a reduced mass $\mu = m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2)$ and a centre of mass $M = m_1 + m_2$. We've already seen this problem solved by means of separation of variables. The result is that the state vector is the product of a vector for a free particle of mass M and of a vector of a particle with reduced mass μ moving in the potential V .

Another case where we can decouple the Hamiltonian is in a system of harmonic oscillators. We've already seen this system solved for two masses in classical mechanics using diagonalization of the matrix describing the equations of motion. The classical Hamiltonian is

$$(7) \quad H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} [x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2]$$

The earlier solution involved introducing normal coordinates

$$(8) \quad x_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 + x_2)$$

$$(9) \quad x_{II} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 - x_2)$$

and corresponding momenta

$$(10) \quad p_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_1 + p_2)$$

$$(11) \quad p_{II} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_1 - p_2)$$

These normal coordinates are canonical as we can verify by calculating the Poisson brackets. For example

$$(12) \quad \{x_I, p_I\} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial p_I}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial p_I}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$(13) \quad = 1$$

$$(14) \quad \{x_I, x_{II}\} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$(15) \quad = 0$$

and so on, with the general result

$$(16) \quad \{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$$

$$(17) \quad \{x_i, x_j\} = \{p_i, p_j\} = 0$$

We can invert the transformation to get

$$(18) \quad x_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_I + x_{II})$$

$$(19) \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_I - x_{II})$$

and

$$(20) \quad p_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_I + p_{II})$$

$$(21) \quad p_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_I - p_{II})$$

Inserting these into 7 we get

$$(22) \quad H = \frac{1}{4m} \left[(p_I + p_{II})^2 + (p_I - p_{II})^2 \right] +$$

$$(23) \quad \frac{m\omega^2}{4} \left[(x_I + x_{II})^2 + (x_I - x_{II})^2 + x_{II}^2 \right]$$

$$(24) \quad = \frac{p_I^2}{2m} + \frac{p_{II}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} (x_I^2 + 2x_{II}^2)$$

We can now substitute the usual quantum mechanical operators to get the quantum Hamiltonian:

$$(25) \quad H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (P_I^2 + P_{II}^2) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} (X_I^2 + 2X_{II}^2)$$

In the coordinate basis, this is

$$(26) \quad H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_I^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{II}^2} \right) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} (x_I^2 + 2x_{II}^2)$$

The Hamiltonian is now decoupled and can be solved by separation of variables.

We could have arrived at this result by starting with 7 and promoting x_i and p_i to quantum operators directly, then made the substitution to normal coordinates. We would then start with

$$(27) \quad H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} [x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2]$$

The potential term on the right transforms the same way as before, so we get

$$(28) \quad \frac{m\omega^2}{2} [x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2] \rightarrow \frac{m\omega^2}{2} (x_I^2 + 2x_{II}^2)$$

To transform the two derivatives, we need to use the chain rule a couple of times. To get the first derivatives:

$$(29) \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_1}$$

$$(30) \quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right)$$

$$(31) \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_2}$$

$$(32) \quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right)$$

Now the second derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (33) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_I} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} \right) \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{II}} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} \right) \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_1} \\
 (34) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_I} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{II}} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) \right] \\
 (35) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I \partial x_{II}} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_{II}^2} \right] \\
 (36) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_I} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2} \right) \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{II}} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2} \right) \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_2} \\
 (37) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_I} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{II}} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) \right] \\
 (38) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I \partial x_{II}} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_{II}^2} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two derivatives, we get

$$(39) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_{II}^2}$$

Inserting this, together with 28, into 27 we get 26 again.